



July 8th 2022 — Quantstamp Verified

Sweat Economy

This audit report was prepared by Quantstamp, the leader in blockchain security.

Executive Summary

| Type                       | Fungible Token                                                                                                                                                                                                     |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Auditors                   | Souhail Mssassi, Research Engineer<br>Marius Guggenmos, Senior Research Engineer<br>Fayçal Lalidji, Senior Security Engineer                                                                                       |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Timeline                   | 2022-05-31 through 2022-06-21                                                                                                                                                                                      |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Languages                  | Rust                                                                                                                                                                                                               |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Methods                    | Architecture Review, Unit Testing, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review                                                                                                                  |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Specification              | <a href="#">Sweat Litepaper</a>                                                                                                                                                                                    |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Documentation Quality      | <div><div></div></div> Medium                                                                                                                                                                                      |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Test Quality               | <div><div></div></div> High                                                                                                                                                                                        |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| Source Code                | <table><tr><th>Repository</th><th>Commit</th></tr><tr><td><a href="#">sweat-near</a></td><td><a href="#">5189277</a></td></tr><tr><td><a href="#">sweat-near</a></td><td><a href="#">f898246</a></td></tr></table> | Repository | Commit | <a href="#">sweat-near</a> | <a href="#">5189277</a> | <a href="#">sweat-near</a> | <a href="#">f898246</a> |
| Repository                 | Commit                                                                                                                                                                                                             |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| <a href="#">sweat-near</a> | <a href="#">5189277</a>                                                                                                                                                                                            |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |
| <a href="#">sweat-near</a> | <a href="#">f898246</a>                                                                                                                                                                                            |            |        |                            |                         |                            |                         |

|                           |                |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| Total Issues              | 7 (3 Resolved) |
| High Risk Issues          | 1 (0 Resolved) |
| Medium Risk Issues        | 0 (0 Resolved) |
| Low Risk Issues           | 1 (0 Resolved) |
| Informational Risk Issues | 4 (3 Resolved) |
| Undetermined Risk Issues  | 1 (0 Resolved) |



|                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ⚠ High Risk     | The issue puts a large number of users' sensitive information at risk, or is reasonably likely to lead to catastrophic impact for client's reputation or serious financial implications for client and users. |
| ⚠ Medium Risk   | The issue puts a subset of users' sensitive information at risk, would be detrimental for the client's reputation if exploited, or is reasonably likely to lead to moderate financial impact.                 |
| ✓ Low Risk      | The risk is relatively small and could not be exploited on a recurring basis, or is a risk that the client has indicated is low-impact in view of the client's business circumstances.                        |
| ℳ Informational | The issue does not post an immediate risk, but is relevant to security best practices or Defence in Depth.                                                                                                    |
| ❓ Undetermined  | The impact of the issue is uncertain.                                                                                                                                                                         |

|                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ⬤ Unresolved   | Acknowledged the existence of the risk, and decided to accept it without engaging in special efforts to control it.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| ⬤ Acknowledged | The issue remains in the code but is a result of an intentional business or design decision. As such, it is supposed to be addressed outside the programmatic means, such as: 1) comments, documentation, README, FAQ; 2) business processes; 3) analyses showing that the issue shall have no negative consequences in practice (e.g., gas analysis, deployment settings). |
| ⬢ Fixed        | Adjusted program implementation, requirements or constraints to eliminate the risk.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| ⬢ Mitigated    | Implemented actions to minimize the impact or likelihood of the risk.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

## Summary of Findings

**Initial audit:**

Through reviewing the code, we found **7 potential issues** of various levels of severity: **1** high-severity, **1** low-severity, **4** informational-severity and **1** undetermined issues. We recommend addressing all the issues before deploying the code.

**After the re-audit:**

The Sweat team has fixed the majority of the issues and the contracts are ready to be deployed.

| ID    | Description                                         | Severity        | Status       |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| QSP-1 | Outdated Vulnerable Packages In The Project         | ⬆️ High         | Acknowledged |
| QSP-2 | Possible Overflow & Underflow In The Contract       | ⬇️ Low          | Acknowledged |
| QSP-3 | Adding Oracle Doesn't Revert On An Existent Element | 🕒 Informational | Fixed        |
| QSP-4 | Redundant Verification On Private Functions         | 🕒 Informational | Acknowledged |
| QSP-5 | Hardcoded Length Check                              | 🕒 Informational | Fixed        |
| QSP-6 | Unclear Name <code>steps_from_tge</code>            | 🕒 Informational | Fixed        |
| QSP-7 | The Batch Mint Function Can Panic                   | ❓ Undetermined  | Acknowledged |

## Quantstamp Audit Breakdown

Quantstamp's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow / underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service / logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting

### Methodology

The Quantstamp auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

1. Code review that includes the following
  - i. Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
  - ii. Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
  - iii. Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp describe.
2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
  - i. Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
  - ii. Symbolic execution, which is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
4. Specific, itemized, and actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

### Toolset

The notes below outline the setup and steps performed in the process of this audit.

#### Setup

Tool Setup:

- [Cargo Audit](#) 0.16.0
- [Cargo Geiger](#) 0.11.1

Steps taken to run the tools:

1. Installed via `cargo install cargo-audit`
2. Ran `cargo audit`
3. cargo install cargo-geiger

## Findings

### QSP-1 Outdated Vulnerable Packages In The Project

**Severity:** *High Risk*

**Status:** Acknowledged

**File(s) affected:** `Cargo.toml`

**Description:** During our code review, we used the `cargo audit` tool to check if any of the dependencies contain known vulnerabilities. The tool reported two critical vulnerabilities in the `regex` and the `time` crates. Refer to the `Cargo Audit` section of this report for details.

**Recommendation:**

- Consider updating the `time` package to a version greater than 0.2.23.
- Consider updating the `regex` package to a version greater than 1.5.5.

**Update:** The team has acknowledged the risk knowing that the dependencies are used only in the workspace test framework.

### QSP-2 Possible Overflow & Underflow In The Contract

**Severity:** *Low Risk*

**Status:** Acknowledged

**File(s) affected:** `sweat/src/lib.rs`, `sweat/src/math.rs`

**Description:** In the `formula` function (see file `sweat/lib.rs` file, L4), the `last_trillion` is incremented by one using the `+` operator, which can cause an overflow. The overflow-check is enabled in the `Cargo.toml` which is a good practice however it's better to verify it also from the code.

- Same issue in `math.rs`(L9,L16)
- Same issue in `lib.rs`(L84,L85,L86,L88)

**Recommendation:**

- Instead of using the `+` operator, we recommend using the `checked_add` function to prevent an overflow.
- Instead of using the `-` operator, we recommend using the `checked_sub` function to prevent an underflow.
- Instead of using the `/` operator, we recommend using the `checked_div` function.
- Instead of using the `*` operator, we recommend using the `checked_mul` function to prevent an overflow.

**Update:** The team has acknowledged the issue knowing they already do the verification for the overflow-check in TOML file.

### QSP-3 Adding Oracle Doesn't Revert On An Existent Element

**Severity:** *Informational*

**Status:** Fixed

**File(s) affected:** `sweat/src/lib.rs`

**Description:** The `add_oracle` function is used to add new oracles to the set oracles using the `insert` method. When adding a duplicate oracle to the set, `insert` will return `false` and not revert. Similarly, removing a non-existing oracle will not revert.

**Recommendation:** Consider wrapping the output of the `insert` method in the `assert!` macro, if the output is `false` revert the transaction.

**Update:** The team has fixed the issue using a `require` and reverting the transaction if the oracle already exist.

### QSP-4 Redundant Verification On Private Functions

**Severity:** *Informational*

**Status:** Acknowledged

**File(s) affected:** `sweat/src/lib.rs`

**Description:** The following functions `add_oracle`, `remove_oracle`, `tge_mint`, `tge_mint_batch` with the `#[private]` decorator verify also if the method is only called by the contract itself using the common pattern of validation that the direct caller matches the contract's account. The `#[private]` macro is already performs this check.

**Recommendation:** Consider removing the following line from the private functions

```
assert_eq!(env::predecessor_account_id(), env::current_account_id());
```

**Update:** The team has acknowledged the issue knowing that they are using it for testing purposes.

### QSP-5 Hardcoded Length Check

Severity: Informational

Status: Fixed

File(s) affected: `sweat/src/math.rs`

Description: In `math.rs:formula`, there is a check whether the lookup table should be used in the form of `if trillion < 400`. Should there be any modifications to the length of the lookup tables, it would be easy to miss that this check has to be adjusted as well since there is no reference to the arrays.

Recommendation: Replace the hard-coded length with the length of one of the arrays. Since the size is statically known and fixed, the compiler will generate the same code. Additionally, consider adding a [static assertion](#) that makes sure the `KS` and `BS` arrays have the same length like this:

```
#[allow(dead_code)]
const fn assert_lookup_lengths() {
    const_assert_eq!(KS.len(), BS.len());
}
```

Update: The team has fixed the issue by verification `trillion` with `KLS.len()`.

## QSP-6 Unclear Name `steps_from_tge`

Severity: Informational

Status: Fixed

File(s) affected: `sweat/src/lib.rs`

Description: The member `Contract.steps_from_tge` records the steps that have been reported through `record_batch`, i.e., presumably the steps since after the TGE event. The name `steps_from_tge` suggests it records the number of steps that were recorded at the TGE event and not since.

Recommendation: Rename the variable to `steps_since_tge` to clear up any potential confusion.

Update: The team has fixed the issue by changing the name of the variable.

## QSP-7 The Batch Mint Function Can Panic

Severity: Undetermined

Status: Acknowledged

File(s) affected: `sweat/src/lib.rs`

Description: The `tge_mint_batch(...)` function calls `FungibleToken.internal_register_account(...)` which panics if the account already exists in the token accounts list rather than continuing execution as `tge_mint` does.

Recommendation: If this behavior is intended, consider documenting it. Otherwise, it is advised to remove the verification.

# Automated Analyses

## Cargo Audit

Fetching advisory database from 'https://github.com/RustSec/advisory-db.git'  
Loaded 417 security advisories (from C:\Users\atq\.cargo\advisory-db)  
Updating crates.io index  
Scanning Cargo.lock for vulnerabilities (319 crate dependencies)

Crate: regex  
Version: 1.5.4  
Title: Regexes with large repetitions on empty sub-expressions take a very long time to parse  
Date: 2022-03-08  
ID: RUSTSEC-2022-0013  
URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2022-0013  
Solution: Upgrade to >=1.5.5

Dependency tree:  
regex 1.5.4  
├── validator 0.12.0  
│ └── near-primitives 0.10.0  
├── prometheus 0.11.0  
│ └── near-metrics 0.12.0  
│ └── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0  
│ ├── workspaces 0.2.0  
│ │ ├── examples 0.0.0  
│ │ └── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0  
│ │ └── workspaces 0.2.0  
└── near-units-core 0.2.0  
 ├── near-units-macro 0.2.0  
 │ └── near-units 0.2.0  
 │ └── examples 0.0.0  
 └── near-units 0.2.0  
└── near-primitives 0.10.0

Crate: time  
Version: 0.1.43  
Title: Potential segfault in the time crate  
Date: 2020-11-18  
ID: RUSTSEC-2020-0071  
URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2020-0071  
Solution: Upgrade to >=0.2.23

Dependency tree:  
time 0.1.43  
├── zip 0.5.13  
│ ├── binary-install 0.0.2  
│ │ └── near-sandbox-utils 0.2.0  
│ │ └── workspaces 0.2.0  
│ │ └── examples 0.0.0  
└── chrono 0.4.19  
 ├── workspaces 0.2.0  
 ├── near-sandbox-utils 0.2.0  
 ├── near-primitives 0.12.0  
 ├── near-primitives 0.10.0  
 ├── near-network-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ ├── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ │ ├── workspaces 0.2.0  
 │ │ └── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0  
 │ │ └── workspaces 0.2.0  
 │ └── near-client-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ └── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0  
 ├── near-client-primitives 0.12.0  
 ├── near-chain-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ ├── near-client-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ └── near-chunks-primitives 0.12.0  
 │ └── near-client-primitives 0.12.0  
 └── near-chain-configs 0.12.0  
 ├── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0  
 ├── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0  
 └── near-client-primitives 0.12.0

Crate: failure  
Version: 0.1.8  
Warning: unmaintained

```
Title: failure is officially deprecated/unmaintained
Date: 2020-05-02
ID: RUSTSEC-2020-0036
URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2020-0036
Dependency tree:
failure 0.1.8
├── near-chain-primitives 0.12.0
│   ├── near-client-primitives 0.12.0
│   │   └── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0
│   │       ├── workspaces 0.2.0
│   │       │   └── examples 0.0.0
│   │       └── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0
│   │           └── workspaces 0.2.0
│   └── near-chunks-primitives 0.12.0
│       └── near-client-primitives 0.12.0
└── binary-install 0.0.2
    └── near-sandbox-utils 0.2.0
        └── workspaces 0.2.0

Crate: sha2
Version: 0.9.8
Warning: yanked
Dependency tree:
sha2 0.9.8
├── near-vm-logic 0.10.0
│   └── near-sdk 4.0.0-pre.7
│       ├── sweat 0.1.0
│       │   └── near-contract-standards 4.0.0-pre.7
│       │       └── sweat 0.1.0
│       └── examples 0.0.0
├── near-primitives-core 0.12.0
├── near-primitives-core 0.10.0
├── near-primitives 0.10.0
├── near-chain-configs 0.12.0
│   └── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0
│       ├── workspaces 0.2.0
│       │   └── examples 0.0.0
│       └── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0
│           └── workspaces 0.2.0
├── near-jsonrpc-client 0.3.0
├── near-client-primitives 0.12.0
└── near-jsonrpc-primitives 0.12.0
└── ed25519-dalek 1.0.1
    ├── near-crypto 0.12.0
    └── near-crypto 0.10.0

error: 2 vulnerabilities found!
warning: 2 allowed warnings found
```

Cargo Geiger

Metric output format: x/y  
x = unsafe code used by the build  
y = total unsafe code found in the crate

Symbols:  
✓ = No 'unsafe' usage found, declares #![forbid(unsafe\_code)]  
✓ = No 'unsafe' usage found, missing #![forbid(unsafe\_code)]  
✓✓ = 'unsafe' usage found

| Functions | Expressions | Impls | Traits | Methods | Dependency                                |
|-----------|-------------|-------|--------|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ sweat 0.1.0                             |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ └─ near-contract-standards 4.0.0-pre.7  |
| 53/53     | 460/478     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ near-sdk 4.0.0-pre.7               |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ base64 0.13.0                      |
| 0/0       | 7/7         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ borsh 0.9.1                        |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ borsh-derive 0.9.1                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ borsh-derive-internal 0.9.1        |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ unicode-xid 0.2.2                  |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ quote 1.0.10                       |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 45/45       | 3/3   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ syn 1.0.78                         |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ quote 1.0.10                       |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ unicode-xid 0.2.2                  |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ borsh-schema-derive-internal 0.9.1 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ quote 1.0.10                       |
| 0/0       | 45/45       | 3/3   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ syn 1.0.78                         |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro-crate 0.1.5             |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ toml 0.5.8                         |
| 0/0       | 37/42       | 1/1   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ indexmap 1.7.0                     |
| 2/2       | 1082/1198   | 19/22 | 1/1    | 51/58   | ✓ ✓ └─ hashbrown 0.11.2                   |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ serde_derive 1.0.136               |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ quote 1.0.10                       |
| 0/0       | 45/45       | 3/3   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ syn 1.0.78                         |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 45/45       | 3/3   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ syn 1.0.78                         |
| 2/2       | 1006/1098   | 16/19 | 0/0    | 35/39   | ✓ ✓ └─ hashbrown 0.9.1                    |
| 0/0       | 16/20       | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ ahash 0.4.7                        |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 1/1         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ bs58 0.4.0                         |
| 12/12     | 231/231     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ sha2 0.9.8                         |
| 0/0       | 6/6         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ block-buffer 0.9.0                 |
| 0/0       | 3/3         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ block-padding 0.2.1                |
| 1/1       | 295/295     | 20/20 | 8/8    | 5/5     | ✓ ✓ └─ generic-array 0.14.4               |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ typenum 1.14.0                     |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ cfg-if 1.0.0                       |
| 0/1       | 0/14        | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ cpufeatures 0.2.1                  |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ digest 0.9.0                       |
| 1/1       | 295/295     | 20/20 | 8/8    | 5/5     | ✓ ✓ └─ generic-array 0.14.4               |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ opaque-debug 0.3.0                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ near-primitives-core 0.10.0        |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ base64 0.11.0                      |
| 0/0       | 7/7         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ borsh 0.9.1                        |
| 0/0       | 1/1         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ bs58 0.4.0                         |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ derive_more 0.99.16                |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ convert_case 0.4.0                 |
| 0/0       | 15/15       | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ rand 0.7.3                         |
| 2/4       | 50/150      | 1/1   | 0/0    | 3/3     | ✓ ✓ └─ getrandom 0.1.16                   |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ cfg-if 1.0.0                       |
| 0/20      | 12/353      | 0/2   | 0/0    | 2/38    | ✓ ✓ └─ libc 0.2.122                       |
| 0/20      | 12/353      | 0/2   | 0/0    | 2/38    | ✓ ✓ └─ libc 0.2.122                       |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ rand_chacha 0.2.2                  |
| 2/2       | 636/712     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 17/25   | ✓ ✓ └─ ppv-lite86 0.2.16                  |
| 0/0       | 22/22       | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ rand_core 0.5.1                    |
| 2/4       | 50/150      | 1/1   | 0/0    | 3/3     | ✓ ✓ └─ getrandom 0.1.16                   |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 22/22       | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ rand_core 0.5.1                    |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ quote 1.0.10                       |
| 0/0       | 45/45       | 3/3   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ syn 1.0.78                         |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ hex 0.4.3                          |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 7/7         | 1/1   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ lazy_static 1.4.0                  |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ near-account-id 0.10.0             |
| 0/0       | 7/7         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ borsh 0.9.1                        |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ num-rational 0.3.2                 |
| 0/0       | 6/11        | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ num-bigint 0.3.3                   |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ num-integer 0.1.44                 |
| 0/0       | 4/10        | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ num-traits 0.2.14                  |
| 0/0       | 4/10        | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ num-traits 0.2.14                  |
| 0/0       | 15/15       | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ rand 0.7.3                         |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ num-integer 0.1.44                 |
| 0/0       | 4/10        | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ num-traits 0.2.14                  |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 0/0       | 4/7         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ serde_json 1.0.71                  |
| 0/0       | 37/42       | 1/1   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ indexmap 1.7.0                     |
| 0/0       | 1/1         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ itoa 0.4.8                         |
| 8/12      | 674/921     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ ryu 1.0.5                          |
| 0/0       | 5/5         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ serde 1.0.136                      |
| 12/12     | 231/231     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ sha2 0.9.8                         |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ near-sdk-macros 4.0.0-pre.7        |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ Inflector 0.11.4                   |
| 0/0       | 7/7         | 1/1   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓ ✓ └─ lazy_static 1.4.0                  |
| 0/0       | 34/34       | 1/2   | 0/0    | 2/2     | ✓ ✓ └─ regex 1.5.4                        |
| 19/19     | 678/678     | 0/0   | 0/0    | 22/22   | ✓ ✓ └─ aho-corasick 0.7.18                |
| 36/37     | 2067/2140   | 0/0   | 0/0    | 16/16   | ✓ ✓ └─ memchr 2.4.1                       |
| 0/20      | 12/353      | 0/2   | 0/0    | 2/38    | ✓ ✓ └─ libc 0.2.122                       |
| 36/37     | 2067/2140   | 0/0   | 0/0    | 16/16   | ✓ ✓ └─ memchr 2.4.1                       |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ regex-syntax 0.6.25                |
| 0/0       | 0/0         | 0/0   | 0/0    | 0/0     | ✓   └─ proc-macro2 1.0.32                 |

[illegible]



## Appendix

### File Signatures

The following are the SHA-256 hashes of the reviewed files. A file with a different SHA-256 hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. You are cautioned that a different SHA-256 hash could be (but is not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of the review.

#### Contracts

8eccc460c6608d72df5d55c5cf6ffa008a468c410fb72e334c444dabae9381e1   ./sweat/src/lib.rs

32c6f209a822034ad63f29c2f30987f96f96af145cd36e2aa8875c9ea0e9fd64   ./sweat/src/math.rs

## Changelog

- 2022-06-22 - Initial report
- 2022-07-08 - Final Report

# About Quantstamp

Quantstamp is a Y Combinator-backed company that helps to secure blockchain platforms at scale using computer-aided reasoning tools, with a mission to help boost the adoption of this exponentially growing technology.

With over 1000 Google scholar citations and numerous published papers, Quantstamp's team has decades of combined experience in formal verification, static analysis, and software verification. Quantstamp has also developed a protocol to help smart contract developers and projects worldwide to perform cost-effective smart contract security scans.

To date, Quantstamp has protected \$5B in digital asset risk from hackers and assisted dozens of blockchain projects globally through its white glove security assessment services. As an evangelist of the blockchain ecosystem, Quantstamp assists core infrastructure projects and leading community initiatives such as the Ethereum Community Fund to expedite the adoption of blockchain technology.

Quantstamp's collaborations with leading academic institutions such as the National University of Singapore and MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) reflect our commitment to research, development, and enabling world-class blockchain security.

## Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by Quantstamp; however, Quantstamp does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication.

## Notice of confidentiality

This report, including the content, data, and underlying methodologies, are subject to the confidentiality and feedback provisions in your agreement with Quantstamp. These materials are not to be disclosed, extracted, copied, or distributed except to the extent expressly authorized by Quantstamp.

## Links to other websites

You may, through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to web sites operated by persons other than Quantstamp, Inc. (Quantstamp). Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such web sites' owners. You agree that Quantstamp are not responsible for the content or operation of such web sites, and that Quantstamp shall have no liability to you or any other person or entity for the use of third-party web sites. Except as described below, a hyperlink from this web site to another web site does not imply or mean that Quantstamp endorses the content on that web site or the operator or operations of that site. You are solely responsible for determining the extent to which you may use any content at any other web sites to which you link from the report. Quantstamp assumes no responsibility for the use of third-party software on the website and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any outcome generated by such software.

## Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.